



British Policy Towards Kumaon and Garhwal Forest Area: A Historical Analysis

Rita Singh Rana

Research scholar, Department of Medieval and Modern History, University of Allahabad, Prayagraj, India

Article Info

Volume 7, Issue 6

Page Number : 48-51

Publication Issue :

November-December-2024

Article History

Accepted : 10 Dec 2024

Published : 20 Dec 2024

Abstract- The British colonial administration in the Kumaon and Garhwal regions between 1815 and 1947 significantly impacted local communities, governance structures, and forest management. This paper examines British policies concerning forest resources, land settlements, and socio-political transformations in Uttarakhand. The colonial administration systematically altered traditional forest rights, introduced scientific exploitation of natural resources, and restructured governance to serve British economic and strategic interests. The study also explores the long-term socio-economic consequences of these policies, including migration, economic dependency, and the emergence of nationalist resistance.

Keywords : British colonial administration, Kumaon and Garhwal, Forest policies, Land settlement, Resource exploitation, Traditional forest rights, Economic dependency.

Introduction- The British expansion into the Himalayan region was a calculated move influenced by economic, strategic, and geopolitical factors. The annexation of Kumaon and Garhwal in 1815, following the Anglo-Nepalese War (1814–1816), marked the beginning of direct British control. This study traces the colonial approach towards governance, particularly in the management of forests and natural resources, and its impact on local communities. The research explores how British imperialism shaped the region's economy, society, and environment and how resistance movements emerged in response to colonial exploitation.

Colonial Expansion and Control Over Kumaon and Garhwal- The transition from Gorkha rule to British colonial administration in Kumaon and Garhwal was part of a larger historical trend. Prior to British intervention, the region had experienced multiple power transitions, with the Gorkhas replacing the Chand and Panwar dynasties. However, British expansionist policies, driven by economic and military superiority, led to the downfall of the Gorkhas.

Unlike direct military conquest, the British utilized diplomatic strategies and local alliances to weaken Gorkha rule, eventually formalizing their control through the Treaty of Sugauli (1815). Kumaon was

incorporated into British India as a 'Treaty State,' allowing for a smoother administrative transition compared to other colonial acquisitions.

The British administration in the Himalayas was not merely a territorial occupation but a broader geopolitical maneuver aimed at securing trade routes, accessing raw materials, and countering perceived threats from China, Russia, and France. This expansionist vision extended beyond the Indian subcontinent to Tibet, Tartary, and Central Asia, with significant commercial and strategic implications.

The Impact of British Forest Policies- The colonial administration's approach to forest management in Kumaon and Garhwal was guided by economic exploitation and resource control. Prior to British rule, local communities had traditional rights over forests, which were integral to their agrarian and pastoral lifestyles. However, with the advent of British policies, forests were transformed into state-controlled resources.

Key developments included: 1. Land Settlement and Forest Encroachment

The British introduced systematic land settlements that redefined ownership and access to forest lands.

The state took control of vast forest areas, restricting local use and facilitating commercial exploitation.

Villages lost access to traditional pasture lands, leading to economic hardships for agrarian communities.

2. Commercial Exploitation of Forests

The extraction of timber, resin, and other forest products became a major colonial enterprise.

Remote forests were cleared for railway construction, particularly for railway sleepers.

Indigenous industries such as local iron smelting and mining declined due to British policies favoring imports from Britain.

3. Forced Labor and Taxation- The British implemented systems like 'Chuli Coolie,' which mandated local farmers to provide labor for colonial enterprises.

Heavy taxation on agricultural land and forest produce further burdened local populations.

The 'begar' (forced labor) system, previously practiced under feudal rulers, was continued and expanded under British administration.

4. Strategic and Military Expansion- Several military cantonments were established, including in Chakrata (1866), Ranikhet (1869), and Lansdowne (1887).

Infrastructure development, such as railways and road networks, facilitated British administrative and military control.

The growing influence of colonial rule encouraged migration and economic shifts, integrating the region into the larger colonial economy.

Colonial Knowledge and Exploration of the Himalayas- Between 1770 and 1850, the British conducted numerous exploratory missions in the Himalayas, involving botanists, geographers, surveyors, and traders. These explorations were not purely academic; they served the dual purpose of mapping resources and assessing strategic advantages.

Key figures such as Daniel and Fraser, Hardwicke, Webb, Moorcroft, and Trebeck played crucial roles in documenting the geography, trade potential, and socio-political structures of the region. Their accounts provided intelligence that guided British administrative and economic policies.

Resistance and Nationalist Movements- The imposition of colonial forest policies led to growing dissatisfaction among local communities. The primary sources of resistance included:

1. Opposition to Begar and Forced Labor- Widespread protests emerged against forced labor, with local movements advocating for its abolition.

The Begar Abolition Movement of 1923 gained momentum, marking a turning point in regional resistance.

2. Forest Rights Movements- Local populations, especially peasants and pastoralists, resisted the restrictions imposed on forest access.

Conflicts over grazing rights and land use intensified, culminating in protests and negotiations with the colonial administration.

3. Integration with Nationalist Struggles- By the early 20th century, local representatives began participating in national political movements.

The growing political awareness led to greater involvement in the Indian National Congress and regional legislative councils.

The struggle against colonial rule was framed within the broader nationalist movement, linking local grievances to the larger fight for independence.

Socio-Economic and Cultural Transformations Under Colonial Rule- Despite its exploitative nature, colonial rule introduced several socio-economic changes in the region:

Introduction of Modern Education: Missionary activities led to the establishment of schools, expanding literacy and educational access.

Development of Hill Stations: Cities such as Mussoorie, Nainital, and Darjeeling became important colonial settlements, influencing local economies.

Employment in Colonial Administration: Many local residents found employment in administrative and military sectors, leading to increased migration.

Expansion of Infrastructure: Railways and roads improved connectivity, facilitating trade but also intensifying resource extraction.

Conclusion- The British colonial policies in Kumaon and Garhwal significantly transformed the region's social, economic, and environmental landscape. The shift from Gorkha rule to British administration marked a transition from a feudal military system to a structured colonial economy. The British forest policies, aimed at resource extraction and strategic control, disrupted traditional livelihoods and led to resistance movements that later merged with India's broader nationalist struggle.

While colonial rule introduced modernization in certain areas, it simultaneously reinforced economic dependency and socio-political subjugation. The long-term impact of British policies continues to shape contemporary issues related to land rights, forest conservation, and economic development in Uttarakhand. Understanding this historical trajectory is crucial for addressing present-day challenges and preserving the ecological and cultural heritage of the region.

References

1. B.D. Sanwal, Nepal and the East India Company: 115-156; Chaudhary, Indo-Nepalese Relation: 151, Dabral, Uttrakhand ka itihās, vol 7: 65; Extract from a letter from Hearsay to John Adams dated 24 August 1814 in Pre-Mutiny Records, Office of the Commissioner of Kumaun, Miscellaneous letter Received, series 1, 1814, vol 1, page 27 (UPSA, Lucknow): They (Gorkhas) are not aware of the the country they now hold in Garhwal are rich copper mines, iron in great abundance, Tari, Hemp and marts and yards of fir innumerable, sufficient to supply all the navy of England..If the country was given back to the former Rajah, a great flow of commerce would take place, highly beneficial to Great Britain and British commodities would by the Rootwal passes of Neetee, Mana, Juaar and Tacklakot find their way into Tartary even China.
2. The hill Rajas, when they had successfully conquered and displaced, were mere ignorant selfish tyrants on bad terms with their own relations. Thus while there was amongst them no principle of combination for mutual defence against a common enemy, not one of the petty principalities was sufficiently strong or united within itself to be capable of substantial resistance.', Prinsep, H.T., History of the Political Transactions in India During the Administration of the Marquess of Hastings 1813-23, I: 55, Irish University Press, Shannon, Ireland, 1972 (1825).
3. J.N.L. Baker, History of Geographical Discoveries and Exploration; Percy Sykes, A History of Exploration: map page 102; Nathalie E. Hinger, A History of Discovery and Exploration: Africa and Asia: Mapping Two Continents: 73-75; Maclagan, Jesuits and the Great Mogul: 344; Kenneth Mason, Abode of Snow: 57; Wessels, Early Jesuit Travellers: 101; De Filippi, Account of Tibet: 365, ft 4; Pahad 11.
4. Dharendra Datt Dangwal, Himalayan Degradation: 15-17
5. See: Pande, Girija, Geijerstam, Jan af. (Eds.), 2002, Tradition and Innovation in the History of Iron Making, Nainital; Geijerstam, Jan af., 2004, Landscapes of Technology Transfer: Swedish Iron Makers in India (1860-1864), Jernkontores Bergshistoriska, Skriftserie (Sweden)
6. James C. Scott's statement about Southeast Asia also applies to Uttarakhand: "There is no doubt that the average burden of colonial rule on farmers' incomes was no less than that of any previous government. The colonial taxation system not only attacked the means of subsistence but was also implemented in a repressive manner."(James C. Scott, 1976, The Moral Economy of the Peasant: Rebellion and Subsistence in SouthEast Asia, Yale University Press: 92).
7. Shekhar Pathak, Coolie Begar System in Uttarakhand, 13
8. Upadhyay, History of Nepal : 114
9. Mahesh C. Regmi, 1999, Imperial Gorkha
10. Dabral: History of Uttarakhand, vol Five: 37
11. Moorcroft and Trebeck, Travels in Hindustan, Part I; Dabral, Part 6
12. S.A.A. Rizvi, 1960, Freedom Struggle in U.P., Volume V: 176-80, 190-209, 269-276; Shekhar Pathak, Sarfaroshi desire of, 11-13; Tolia, Kumaon and rebellion, mountains 10, 281-294.